his under cover PM III

8/12/69

Dear Dick and Gary,

I have Dick's remailing of Gary's 8/4, with interestinf enclosure I will read as soon as I can. I should comment on the letter, however.

I have just completed the draft of the COUP add. It is not less than 125,000 words but will require no appendix. I think it is hot as hell, with enough to get the case reopened, if anything can, and to get all the lawyers involved disbarred, which will never happen. However, if Dud will extend some of the help that is possible of it can get commercial publication, the impossible will be less so, perhaps. Now I must read and correct and make a few additions, some of which have already occurred to me. Then Lil can retype. How I wish someone else could read it before she starts or gets very far in it!

And I feel pretty good, better than I have.

Gary is right on the neck fragments, but what he says about them and the other things on the autopsy makes me wonder if he has read PM III or forgotten it? We may know another thing about the fragmentss as I recall, that they total less than half a bullet.

Let me, to use words you are familiar with, get you out of a bag on the spectro and front-entrance/neck. No bullet ever hit the front of the shirt of the tie. I believe it was a scalpel. But that wound was above the shirt, I am sure. With no bullet to leave traces, what could spectro show?

Regardless of what they say about no bone being hit, this was perjury. I charge and prove it. I checked it with Cyril: fragments mean bones were hit. I am not looking for publicity on this because I would still like the book to be fresh and I see nothing to be gained by publicity.

On the lower back hit, I suggest that you study Z carefully, in slow motion and running backward, and see if you do not find a short, sharp forward motion before 313, not 321 but a number of frames. This could be accounted for by that shot. The odds are so much against what Tink postulates and I have no reason to trust any of his work.

Forman is right about the back wound and its depth, for I learned it separately from a local radiologist. That bone is the most floating one in the body. It floats forward two inches when the body is prone, as it was on the autpsy bench. There are no sitting or standing autopsies. This, to a probe, as all the doctors should have known, the natural pre- rigor mobility of that part of the body closed off entrance.

I never got around to getting copies of Itek's work because someone promised it to me and didn't get or send it. Can you save me the time by giving me their address so I can ask?

Best to both,